1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		TESTIMONY OF KATHY K. BLAKE
3		BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA
4		DOCKET NO. 97-239-C
5		DECEMBER 31, 2003
6		
7		
8	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR POSITION WITH BELLSOUTH
9		TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. ("BELLSOUTH") AND YOUR BUSINESS
10		ADDRESS.
11		
12	A.	My name is Kathy K. Blake. I am employed by BellSouth as Director - Policy
13		Implementation for the nine-state BellSouth region. My business address is 675
14		West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375.
15		
16	Q.	PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF YOUR BACKGROUND
17		AND EXPERIENCE.
18		
19	A.	I graduated from Florida State University in 1981, with a Bachelor of Science
20		degree in Business Management. After graduation, I began employment with
21		Southern Bell as a Supervisor in the Customer Services Organization in Miami,
22		Florida. In 1982, I moved to Atlanta where I have held various positions
23		involving Staff Support, Product Management, Negotiations, and Market
24		Management within the BellSouth Customer Services and Interconnection
25		Services Organizations. In 1997, I moved into the State Regulatory Organization

1		where my responsibilities included issues management and policy witness
2		support. I assumed my current responsibilities in July 2003.
3		
4	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
5		
6	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to: (1) describe the tariff that BellSouth filed in
7		this docket on September 2, 2003; (2) put Bellsouth's tariff filing into context by
8		briefly explaining how the intrastate Universal Service Fund ("the State USF")
9		came about; and (3) explain how BellSouth's tariff filing complies with the State
10		USF Guidelines and Administrative Procedures that the Commission has
11		adopted.1
12		
13		I. DESCRIPTION OF BELLSOUTH'S TARIFF FILING
14		
15	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE TARIFF THAT BELLSOUTH FILED IN THIS
16		DOCKET ON SEPTEMBER 2, 2003.
17		
18	A.	BellSouth's proposed tariff reduces the Local Switching rate, the DS1 Facility
19		Termination charge, and the DS1 Interoffice Channel Mileage charge components
20		of its Feature Group D intrastate switched access rates in South Carolina. A
21		redacted, non-proprietary copy of BellSouth's filing package is attached to my
22		testimony as Exhibit KKB-1. <sup>2</sup>
23	1	As explained below, these Guidelines and Administrative Procedures are Exhibits
24		B, respectively, to Order No. 2001-996 that the Commission entered in Docket No. 239-C on October 10, 2001.
25		BellSouth will make proprietary versions of this filing package available to supon execution of an acceptable proprietary agreement or upon the Commission's of a protective order in this docket.

1 Q. WHY IS BELLSOUTH PROPOSING THESE RATE REDUCTIONS
---

3 A. BellSouth is proposing to reduce these intrastate switched access rates in order to
4 remove a portion of the implicit support that is provided for universal service.

Q. DO THE RATES FOR SWITCHED ACCESS SERVICE THAT BELLSOUTH
 IS PROPOSING TO REDUCE CONTAIN IMPLICIT SUPPORT FOR
 UNIVERSAL SERVICE?

A. Yes. The Commission previously has found that "[i]ntrastate switched access rates are priced above cost," that these rates "contain significant implicit support for basic local exchange telephone service," and that "[a]ccess service is particularly susceptible to bypass and competitive erosion." Both the existing rates for this service and the proposed rates in BellSouth's tariff are above the UNE rates for the network components of the service as set forth in the pre-filed direct testimony of BellSouth witness Robert McKnight. As Mr. McKnight explains in his testimony, this means that these rates also exceed the Total Service Long Run Incremental Costs of the service. Even after BellSouth's proposed rate reductions are implemented, therefore, its rates for Feature Group D intrastate switched access service in South Carolina will continue to be priced above cost.

See Order on Universal Service Fund, In Re: Proceeding to Establish Guidelines for an Intrastate Universal Service Fund, Order No. 2001-419 in Docket No. 97-239-C at p. 34 (June 6, 2001).

1	Q.	HOW DO BELLSOUTH'S PROPOSED RATES FOR INTRASTATE
2		SWITCHED ACCESS SERVICE IN SOUTH CAROLINA COMPARE TO
3		BELLSOUTH'S RATES FOR INTERSTATE SWITCHED ACCESS SERVICE
4		AND TO BELLSOUTH'S RATES FOR INTRASTATE SWITCHED ACCESS
5		SERVICE IN OTHER STATES IN BELLSOUTH'S REGION?
6		
7	A.	As set out in Exhibit KKB-2, BellSouth's proposed rates for intrastate switched
8		access service in South Carolina are closely aligned with BellSouth's interstate
9		switched access rates and with BellSouth's intrastate switched access rates in
10		other states in the BellSouth region. The proposed South Carolina rates are lower
11		than the rates in 3 states and higher than the rates in 5 states.
12		
13	Q.	IS BELLSOUTH SEEKING EXPLICIT FUNDING FROM THE STATE USF
14		AS A RESULT OF THESE PROPOSED RATE REDUCTIONS?
15		
16	A.	Yes. BellSouth is requesting explicit funding from the State USF in an amount
17		equal to the reduction in intrastate switched access revenues that results from
18		these proposed rate reductions. This amount of \$7.991 million is explained in the
19		testimony of BellSouth witness Edward Matejick. <sup>4</sup>
20		
21	Q.	WHAT IS THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF BELLSOUTH'S PROPOSED TARIFF?
22		
23	A.	March 1, 2004.
24		

As a result of the correction of a calculation error, this amount is slightly lower than the \$8.117 million amount that is set out BellSouth's tariff filing package of September 2, 2003.

A.	Ordinarily, under the State USF Administrative Procedures approved by the
	Commission, tariff filings seeking to remove implicit funding for universal
	service and to receive explicit funding from the State USF are filed by April 1 for
	implementation by October 1.5 This provides a six-month interval for review,
	approval by the Commission, and implementation.
	By Commission order, <sup>6</sup> the filing date for the 2003 USF requests was extended.
	BellSouth respectfully requests that the Commission complete its review and
	render its decision on BellSouth's tariff filing within the usual six-month interval,
	which would result in an effective date of March 1, 2004.
•	See South Carolina Universal Service Fund Administrative Procedures at p.6, &C. The manner in which these Administrative Procedures came about is set forth in Section II. of my testimony.  See Order Granting Request to Extend Deadline for Filing Tariffs Associated

WHY DID BELLSOUTH CHOOSE THAT EFFECTIVE DATE?

Q.

23

24

25

19, 2003).

with the State Universal Service Fund, In Re: Proceeding to Establish Guidelines for an Intrastate Universal Service Fund, Order No. 2003-221 in Docket No. 97-239-C (April 7,

2003); Order Granting Request to Extend Deadline for Filing Tariffs Associated with the State Universal Service Fund, In Re: Proceeding to Establish Guidelines for an

Intrastate Universal Service Fund, Order No. 2003-423 in Docket No. 97-239-C (June

	II. ESTABLISHMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STATE USF
Q.	BY WAY OF BACKGROUND, AND IN ORDER TO PUT BELLSOUTH'S
	TARIFF FILING INTO CONTEXT, CAN YOU BRIEFLY DESCRIBE HOW
	THE STATE USF CAME ABOUT?
A.	Yes. In 1996, the General Assembly enacted Section 58-9-280(E), which requires
	the Commission to "establish a universal service fund (USF) for distribution to a
	carrier(s) of last resort." The statute requires the Commission to issue orders
	adopting "such guidelines as may be necessary for the funding and management
	of the USF," and it provides that "[t]hese guidelines must not be inconsistent with
	applicable federal law and shall address, without limitation" the matters set forth
	in subsections (1) through (8) of Section 58-9-280(E).
Q.	HAS THE COMMISSION TAKEN ACTION PURSUANT TO SECTION 58-9-
	280(E) IN ORDER TO ESTABLISH AND IMPLEMENT THE STATE USF?
A.	Yes. In Order No. 97-516, the Commission explained how it would go about
	establishing the State USF. <sup>7</sup> This Order explained that the Commission would
	first establish general guidelines for the establishment of the fund, "including
	estimating the size of the level of support to be trued up or down after the
	Commission determined the actual methodology to be used."8 The Order further
	explained that the Commission would then hold a separate hearing to "accept and
	<del></del>
7 Servi 8	See Order, In Re: Proceeding to Establish Guidelines for an Intrastate Universalice Fund, Order No. 97-516 in Docket No. 97-239-C (June 13, 1997).  Id. at p. 1.

2		size of the intrastate USF."9
3		
4	Q.	DID THE COMMISSION ESTABLISH GUIDELINES FOR THE STATE USF?
5		
6	A.	Yes. In 1997, the Commission held a hearing to consider the establishment of the
7		State USF pursuant to the statute. During this hearing, the Commission
8		considered guidelines that the South Carolina Telephone Association ("SCTA")
9		proposed, and on September 3, 1997, the Commission issued an Order adopting
10		certain sections of those guidelines, as modified and supplemented by the
11		Commission. 10 The Commission deferred ruling on certain other sections of the
12		SCTA's proposed guidelines.
13		
14	Q.	DID THE COMMISSION HOLD A SEPARATE HEARING TO ADDRESS
15		THE METHODOLOGIES TO BE USED IN DETERMINING THE SIZE OF
16		THE INTRASTATE USF?
17		
18	A.	Yes. On November 19, 1997, the Commission held a hearing on these matters.
19		Following that hearing, the Commission issued an Order finding, in pertinent part,
20		
21	9 10	Id.
22		See Order Addressing the Universal Service Fund, In Re: Proceeding to lish Guidelines for an Intrastate Universal Service Fund, Order No. 97-573 in
23	Dock	et No. 97-239-C (September 3, 1997). The Commission further modified and
24	clarif	emented its decision in response to certain petitions for reconsideration and/or ication. See Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Petitions, In Resident Control of the Control of
25	97-94	needing to Establish Guidelines for an Intrastate Universal Service Fund, Order No. 22 in Docket No. 97-239-C (December 31, 1997); Order Granting Reconsideration
	in Pa	rt, In Re: Proceeding to Establish Guidelines for an Intrastate Universal Service, Order No. 98-201 in Docket No. 97-239-C (March 17, 1998).

evaluate evidence regarding specific methodologies to be used in determining the

that "[t]he Benchmark Cost Proxy Model (BCPM) 3.1 is hereby adopted as the 1 State forward-looking cost model for BellSouth . . . . "11 The Commission also 2 adopted the company-specific inputs recommended by BellSouth for use in the 3 BCPM 3.1 in BellSouth's service areas, and it found that "[t]hese inputs will be 4 modified as appropriate to be consistent with the Commission decision in Docket 5 No. 97-374-C, the Proceeding to Review BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s 6 cost studies for unbundled network elements, said point as listed herein [at pages 7 59-60 of the Order1."<sup>12</sup> 8

9

DID THE COMMISSION HOLD ANY SUBSEQUENT HEARINGS TO 10 Q. 11 ADDRESS THE ESTABLISHMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE 12 STATE USF?

13

Yes. On July 17, 2000, the Commission held another hearing to address various 14 A. remaining issues related to the State USF, 13 and the Commission issued an order 15 addressing those issues on June 6, 2001. In that Order, the Commission stated 16 17 that:

18

19

20

21

we adopt those parts of the SCTA's proposal, including modifications to the State USF guidelines, that are not inconsistent with the specific recommendations outlined and adopted above.

See Order on Universal Service Cost Models, In Re: Proceeding to Establish 23 Guidelines for an Intrastate Universal Service Fund, Order No. 98-322 in Docket No. 97-239-C at p. 72, ¶1. (May 6, 1998). 24

 $Id., \P 2.$ See Order on Universal Service Fund, In Re: Proceeding to Establish Guidelines 25 for an Intrastate Universal Service Fund, Order No. 2001-419 in Docket No. 97-239-C at pp. 1-2 (June 6, 2001).

1		Staff is instructed to modify the Administrative Procedures
2		proposed by the SCTA to the extent necessary to be consistent
3		with the recommendations we are adopting <sup>14</sup>
4		
5	Q.	DID THE STAFF MAKE THESE MODIFICATIONS?
6		
7	A.	Yes, and the Staff submitted these modifications to the Commission. On October
8		10, 2001, the Commission entered an Order approving "final Guidelines for the
9		South Carolina Universal Service Fund (USF) and the South Carolina Universal
0		Service Fund Administrative Procedures." This Order provides that
1		
2		The two documents were filed with this Commission by the South
3		Carolina Telephone Association (SCTA) originally in this
4		proceeding, and have been modified as instructed in our Order No.
5		2001-419, dated June 6, 2001 to reflect all changes ordered by us
6		since adoption of the original guidelines by our Order No. 97-753
7		dated September 3, 1997, and are attached hereto as Exhibits A &
8		B to this Order.
9		
0		We have examined the submitted documents and do hereby find
1		that they conform with and are in compliance with our previous
2		Orders in this Docket, and that they correctly reflect this
3		Commission's intent in establishing both the Guidelines and
4	14	

See Order Approving Final Documents and Vacating Order No. 2001-954, In Re: Proceeding to Establish Guidelines for an Intrastate Universal Service Fund, Order No. 2001-996 in Docket No. 97-239-C (October 10, 2001).

named documents attached hereto as final documents.16
For ease of reference, I will refer to the Guidelines and the Administrative
Procedures collectively as "the State USF Requirements" in the remainder of my
testimony.
III. BELLSOUTH'S COMPLIANCE WITH STATE USF REQUIREMENTS
ACCORDING TO THE STATE USF REQUIREMENTS, WHAT TYPE OF
TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER IS ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE SUPPORT
FROM THE STATE USF?
A telecommunications carrier that assumes the obligations of a carrier of last
resort is eligible to receive support from the State USF. 17
IS BELLSOUTH A CARRIER OF LAST RESORT THAT IS ELIGIBLE TO
RECEIVE SUPPORT FROM THE STATE USF?
Yes. Section 58-9-10(10) of the South Carolina Code defines the term "carrier of
last resort" as "a facilities-based local exchange carrier, as determined by the
commission, not inconsistent with the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996,
which has the obligation to provide basic local exchange telephone service, upon
reasonable request, to all residential and single-line business customers within a
See Id. at p. 1. See Guidelines at p.1, §2; Administrative Procedures at §IV, p. 3.

defined service area." This sub-section further provides that "[i]nitially, the incumbent LEC must be a carrier of last resort within its existing service area." Additionally, BellSouth is an eligible telecommunications carrier, <sup>18</sup> and BellSouth meets all of the requirements for being designated a carrier of last resort that are set for at pages 1-2 of the Guidelines.

## Q. HOW IS THE SIZE OF THE BELLSOUTH-SPECIFIC PORTION OF THE STATE USF DETERMINED?

#### A. The Guidelines provides that

The maximum size of the state USF (which includes provisions for high cost and low income support) shall be the sum of the differences, for each ILEC carrier of last resort, for each of its designated state USF support service areas, between the cost of providing basic local exchange telecommunications services less the maximum amount (as approved by the Commission) it may charge for basic local exchange telecommunications service within each area and less any federal universal service support received for serving the same area ("high cost support component"), plus the cost of any state mandated support programs for low-income consumers

<sup>25</sup> See Order Designating LECs as Eligible Telecommunications Carriers, In Re: Proceeding to Establish Guidelines for an Intrastate Universal Service Fund, Order No. 97-958 in Docket No. 97-239-C (November 24, 1997).

1		such as Lifeline, as well as any appropriate administrative
2		expenses. <sup>19</sup>
3		
4	Q.	HAS BELLSOUTH CALCULATED THE BELLSOUTH-SPECIFIC PORTION
5		OF THE STATE USF IN THIS MANNER?
6		
7	A.	Yes. After the Commission issued Order No. 98-322 adopting the BCPM 3.1
8		with inputs as modified in that Order, BellSouth calculated the BellSouth-specific
9		portion of the State USF to be \$171 million. Exhibit KKB-3 to my testimony sets
10		forth these calculations.
11		
12	Q.	IN CALCULATING THIS BELLSOUTH-SPECIFIC PORTION OF THE
13		STATE USF, WHAT METHODOLOGY WAS USED TO DETERMINE
14		BELLSOUTH'S COST OF PROVIDING BASIC LOCAL EXCHANGE
15		TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE WITHIN ITS SERVICE AREA?
16		
17	A.	As BellSouth witness Robert McKnight explains in his pre-filed direct testimony,
18		BellSouth used the company-specific inputs it recommended for use in the BCPM
19		3.1 in BellSouth's service areas as modified by the Commission in Order No.
20		Order No. 98-322 in Docket No. 97-239-C.
21		
22		
23		
24	as th	See Guidelines at p. 6, §9. The Guidelines further provide that "[u]ntil such time e commission conducts hearings to establish appropriate maximum rates, the
25	maxir	num rates for determining universal service support shall be deemed to be the er of last resort's] tariffed rates for residential and single-line business services."
		ruidelines at p.9, §11.

Q.	DO THE REQUIREMENTS EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE USF WILL BE
	IMPLEMENTED?
A.	Yes. They provide that the State USF is to be implemented in at least three
	phases: (1) the Initial Phase, which consists of two steps; (2) the Second Phase;
	and (3) Subsequent Phases. As I explain below, both steps of the Initial Phase
	have been implemented, and BellSouth's proposed tariff that is the subject of this
	hearing is part of the Second Phase of the implementation of the State USF.
Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE INITIAL PHASE OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE
	STATE USF.
A.	The Initial Phase (which has already taken place) was designed to implement up
	to 33% of the total State USF, and it consisted of two steps. <sup>20</sup>
	The first step of the Initial Phase was a reduction in intrastate switched access rates,
	and all ILECs were required to implement this step at the same time. <sup>21</sup> BellSouth
	participated in this first step of the Initial Phase by reducing its intrastate switched
	access rates by an amount that removed \$22.760 million annually in implicit
	universal service support from BellSouth's intrastate switched access rates.
	BellSouth is recovering that amount from the State USF today. <sup>22</sup>
20	
20	Guidelines at p.7, §9; Administrative Procedures at p.5, §V. See Id.
for a	See Order on Universal Service Fund, In Re: Proceeding to Establish Guidelines an Intrastate Universal Service Fund, Order No. 2001-419 in Docket No. 97-239-C at

pp. 33-34 (June 6, 2001).

The second step of the Initial Phase was a reduction in end user rates providing 1 implicit support for universal service.<sup>23</sup> No company was required to participate in 2 this second step of the Initial Phase,<sup>24</sup> and BellSouth elected not to participate in this 3 second step. 4 5 As of today, therefore, BellSouth is drawing \$22.760 million of high-cost support 6 annually from the State USF. This represents less than 15% of BellSouth's 7 company-specific portion of the fund.<sup>25</sup> 8 9 PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SECOND PHASE OF IMPLEMENTATION OF Q. 10 THE STATE USF FUND. 11 12 The guidelines provide that "[o]n April 1 of each year, beginning in 2003, each 13 A. ILEC receiving USF support may file tariff reductions and request additional USF 14 support to fund the continued removal of implicit support contained in rates."<sup>26</sup> 15 BellSouth's filing is a part of this second phase of implementation of the State 16 The Administrative Procedures provide that USF. 17

18

See Guidelines at p.7, §9, as modified by Order No. 2001-1088 at p. 3;

Administrative Procedures at p.5, §V, as modified by Order No. 2001-1088 at p. 3

Guidelines at p. 7, §9; Administrative Procedures at p. 5, §V.A.2.

In addition to this high-cost support amount Bell South

In addition to this high-cost support amount, BellSouth also is recovering \$716,000 annually to fund Lifeline. See Order Reducing PTAS Rate, In Re: Application of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for Approval of Revisions to its General Subscriber Service Tariff and Access Service Tariff to Comply with teh FCC's Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Order No. 2001-1054 in Docket No. 97-124-C at p. 2 (December 4, 2001).

Guidelines at p.7, §9. See also Administrative Procedures at p.6, §V.B. As I explained above, the filing date for tariffs implementing the second phase of the State USF was extended by Commission Order.

During this second phase, an ILEC may request additional state USF funding in order to make reductions in tariffed rates for services providing implicit support to the universal support services. ILECs deemed eligible to receive SC High Cost Support may file tariffs to reflect reduction(s) in tariffed intrastate rates providing implicit support to universal service in South Carolina. Companies seeking rate reductions shall also file detailed cost data clearly demonstrating that implicit support exists in the rates that are proposed to be reduced.<sup>27</sup>

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

The Administrative Procedures also provide that this "Second Phase for implementation of the SC USF shall be limited to no more than two thirds (66.67%) of the total State USF, for each ILEC."28 BellSouth is in compliance with this requirement. The \$7.991 million that BellSouth seeks to withdraw from the State USF in this proceeding, when combined with the \$22.760 million that BellSouth is already withdrawing from the State USF, will result in BellSouth's withdrawing a total of \$30.751 million from the fund. This is only 18% of the \$171 million that represents the BellSouth-specific size of the State USF.<sup>29</sup>

19

20

21

<sup>23</sup> 27 Administrative Procedures at p.6, §5.

<sup>28</sup> Administrative Procedures at p.6, §V.B; Guidelines at p.7, §9. 29

<sup>24</sup> If the \$716,000 in Lifeline funding that BellSouth is receiving is considered as well, BellSouth will be withdrawing a total of \$31.467 million from the State USF. This 25 is only 18.4% of the \$171 million that represents the BellSouth-specific size of the State USF.

1	Q.	IS BELLSOUTH REQUIRED TO SUBMIT UPDATED COSTS STUDIES IN
2		ORDER TO IMPLEMENT THIS CHANGE?
3		
4	A.	No. The Guidelines provide that "[t]he Commission-approved costs of providing
5		universal service on a per line basis for any particular LEC should remain in place
6		until such time as that LEC's State USF withdrawal exceeds one-third of its
7		company-specific State USF amount."30 Even after BellSouth's proposed tariff
8		goes into effect, BellSouth's State USF withdrawal will represent less than 20% of
9		its company-specific State USF amount. BellSouth, therefore, is not required to
10		submit updated cost studies in this proceeding.
11		
12	Q.	DOES BELLSOUTH'S PROPOSED TARIFF COMPLY WITH THE
13		"REVENUE NEUTRAL" PROVISIONS OF THE GUIDELINES?
14		
15	A.	Yes. The Guidelines provide that
16		
17		Effective with implementation of the USF, incumbent LECs
18		should reduce prices for intrastate services that include implicit
19		support for universal service to offset the gross amount received
20		from the USF. Such price reductions shall be designed to be
21		revenue neutral to the carrier upon implementation of the USF. <sup>31</sup>
22		
23		As explained above and in the testimony of BellSouth witness Edward Matejick,
24		BellSouth is reducing prices for intrastate switched access services that include
25	30 31	Guidelines at p.8, §9. Guidelines at p. 3, §4.

1		implicit support for universal service. These reductions will reduce BellSouth's
2		revenue by \$7.991 million annually, and that is the additional amount that
3		BellSouth seeks to recover from the State USF.
4		
5		IV. CONCLUSION
6		
7	Q.	WHAT IS BELLSOUTH ASKING THE COMMISSION TO DO IN THIS
8		PROCEEDING?
9		
10	A.	BellSouth is asking the Commission to: (1) find that the tariff BellSouth filed in
11		this docket on September 2, 2003 complies with the Commission's State USF
12		Guidelines and Administrative Procedures; (2) approve BellSouth's tariff filing of
13		September 2, 2003 with an effective date of March 1, 2004; and (3) allow
14		BellSouth to withdraw an additional \$7.991 million from the State USF.
15		
16	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
17		
18	A.	Yes.
19		
20	519568	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

#### BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. SCPSC Docket No. 1997-239-C December 31, 2003 Exhibit KKB-1

Redacted Version of BellSouth's September 2, 2003 Filing Package

11 Pages

The Honorable Gary E. Walsh Executive Director Public Service Commission of South Carolina Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Re: Revision by BellSouth to its Access Service Tariff and request for Universal Service Funding pursuant to Commission Order No. 2001-419 in Docket No. 97-239-C

Dear Mr. Walsh:

Enclosed is a tariff filling on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications Inc. "BellSouth") to reduce certain switched access rates in order to remove a portion of the implicit support for universal service. This filing is being made pursuant to Commission Order No. 2001-419 in Docket No. 97-239-C. As permitted in that Order, BellSouth is requesting explicit funding from the South Carolina Universal Service Fund (USF) in an amount equal to the reduction in access revenues that result from the proposed rate reduction.

Also enclosed is a summary of the tariff filing and USF request, as well as a demonstration that implicit support is contained within the switched access rates BellSouth is proposing to reduce. This demonstration complies with the requirements set forth in Commission Order No. 2001-419, that local exchange carriers seeking USF funding must "file detailed cost data clearly demonstrating that implicit support exists in the proposed reduction."

The USF administrative guidelines approved by the Commission specifies that ordinarily such requests to implement additional USF funding should be filed by April 1<sup>st</sup> for implementation by October 1<sup>st</sup>. This provides a six-month interval for review and approval by the Commission. Per Commission order, the filing date for 2003 USF requests has been extended. BellSouth respectfully requests that the Commission complete its review and render its decision within the usual six-month interval to allow implementation by March 1, 2004 (which is the effective date set forth in BellSouth's proposed tariff).

If you have any questions, please call Jim Thompson at 803-401-2215 or Les Addis at 803-401-2216.

Yours truly,

Vice President

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

With this filing, BellSouth is reducing the Local Switching rate, the DS1 Facility Termination charge, and the DS1 Interoffice Channel Mileage charge. In addition, USF revenues are being increased by an increase in the USF percentage applied to the appropriate end user revenues. The tariff is to be filed on September 2, 2003 to become effective March 1, 2004.

Shown below is a comparison of the current and proposed BellSouth FGD SWA charges.

Rate Elements	Current	Proposed
		3/1/04 Effective Date
Carrier Common Line		
Originating	\$0.000000	\$0.000000
Terminating	\$0.000000	\$0.00000
Transport <sup>1</sup>		
DS1 Local Channel	\$0.000418	\$0.000418
Interconnection	\$0.000000	\$0.00000
DS1 Interoffice Channel	\$0.001791	\$0.001612
Local Switching 2	\$0.006979	\$0.002158
DS1 Direct End Office Trunk	\$0.000438	\$0.000438
Information Surcharge	\$0.000374	\$0.000374
Composite FGD	:	
Originating <sup>2</sup>	\$0.010000	\$0.005000
Terminating <sup>2</sup>	\$0.010000	\$0.005000
Total <sup>2</sup>	\$0.020000	\$0.010000
Estimated Revenue Impacts (	most recent	1
Switched Access Charges to Switched Access Charges		(\$6,828,850.39)
incumbent LECs		<u>(\$1,288,631.00)</u>
Total Revenue Impact		(\$8,117,481.39)

The Transport rates per minute of use reflects 13.330 minutes of use per voice grade equivalent, DS1 Switched Transport and Interoffice Switched Transport of 21 miles.

Composite rates include Carner Common Line, DS1 Switched Transport, interconnection, Local Switching 2 and Information Surcharge (per 100 MOU)

#### **BellSouth**

#### Overview

Pursuant to Order No. 2001-419 ("USF Order") adopted by the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") in Docket No. 97-239-C on June 6, 2001, BellSouth is making this filing to receive additional funding from the State Universal Service Fund ("USF"). In that Order, the Commission stated: "Implementation of the State USF is necessary to remove implicit support from rates and make the funding explicit. This will ensure the continuation of Universal Service – i.e. the provision of basic local exchange telephone service at affordable rates, upon reasonable request – to all residential and single-line business customers in South Carolina."

As a result of prior Commission rulings regarding USF implementation, BellSouth currently receives certain explicit USF support. As explained below, the reductions submitted by BellSouth in this filing equate to the removal of \$8.117 million in implicit universal service support. When combined with BellSouth's original USF implementation of \$22.67 million, the total for these 2 steps equals \$30.787 million. This is less than 33% of BellSouth's estimated total USF requirements of \$171 million, as calculated in prior USF proceedings.

As recognized in the Commission's USF Order, "Intrastate switched access rates are priced well above cost and contain significant implicit support for basic local exchange telephone service. Access is particularly susceptible to bypass and competitive erosion." Thus BellSouth submits this filling to remove additional implicit support from intrastate switched access. If implemented, this tariff filing will more closely align BellSouth's South Carolina intrastate switched access rates with both its interstate switched access rates and with the access rates of other states in the BellSouth region. South Carolina will fall in the middle of the range with 3 states higher and 5 lower. Enclosed with this filing is documentation to demonstrate that current (as well as the proposed) rates are above cost, and that the proposed reduction will shift implicit universal service funding to explicit funding from the USF. Other documentation is being filed on a proprietary/private basis to explain the calculation of the total revenue impact of the proposed rate reductions.

BellSouth believes that the switching and interoffice transport rates set in the most recent generic cost docket for unbundled network elements (Docket No. 2001-65-C) are appropriate cost surrogates for evaluating the price floors for the rate elements of switched access that BellSouth is proposing to reduce in this proceeding. The Commission has reviewed the costs presented by BellSouth in that proceeding and has made any adjustments deemed appropriate, as reflected in Order No. 2001-1089, issued November 30, 2001. Use of these rates as cost surrogates eliminates the need for BellSouth to file additional cost support and for the Commission to review that support.

The relevant rate elements for this proceeding are:

END OFFICE SWITCHING	TELRIC Cost	Proposed Rate
End Office Switching Function (LS1/LS2), Per MOU	\$0.0010519	\$0.002158
End Office Switching Function (LS3/LS4). Per MOU	\$0.0010519	\$0.002148
INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT - DEDICATED - DS1		
Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 Facility Termina	ation 577 14	\$81.00
Interoffice Transport – Dedicated – DS1 per Mile  *Converted to Minutes of Use – the interoffice transport rate per minute of use rate and cos calculations reflect 13.330 minutes of use pe voice grade equivalent, DS1 switched transp	st er	\$20.70
and interoffice switched transport of 21 miles		\$0.00162

These TELRIC cost surrogates reflect TELRIC economic costs<sup>1</sup>. Thus, the forward-looking incremental direct cost has been identified and a reasonable allocation of shared and common costs has been considered. The TELRIC rates for End Office Switching elements listed above reflect costs associated with local call processing and therefore the input usage characteristics in the cost study used to derive the per minute of use TELRIC rate differ slightly from the input usage characteristics that would be used to derive the per minute of use cost for switched access.

#### Below is a comparison of the inputs that differ.

	UNE	SWITCHED ACCESS
Distribution of Calls		
Percent Intra-office Calls (O+T)	33.4%	0.0%
Percent Inter-office Calls	66.6%	100.0%
Busy Hour Conversion Factors		
Busy Hour to Full Day Ratio	8.75%	8.21%
Call Characteristics		
Call Completion Ratio	70.9%	71.9%
Average Non-Conversation Time per Call (Seconds)	13.28	19.06

BellSouth does not support the TELRIC pricing methodology in part due to its hypothetical nature. The distortion in costs caused by the TELRIC hypothetical approach is most evident in the development of loop losts. However, with respect to switching and interoffice transport (which have to the greatest degree converted to the newer currently available technologies, i.e. digital switches and fiber) the cost studies BellSouth filed to support the switching and transport UNEs in Docket 2001-65-C are less impacted by the TELRIC methodology, if urthermore, the Commission did not make specific adjustments to the cost studies apporting these elements.

However, the primary cost drivers are the fundamental unit investments<sup>2</sup>, which are identical in both studies.

The characteristics of a dedicated DS1 facility from one switch to another in BellSouth's network would not differ whether it be local or switched access.

SCIS MO cost model produces the unit investments associated with the end office switch. Fundamental studies were conducted to identify the SST investments required for call processing. These supporting indies were filed in Docket No. 2001-65-C.

BELLSOUTH
FELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
SOUTH CAROLINA
ISSUED: September 2, 2003
BY President - South Carolina

Columbia, South Carolina

Sixth Revised Page 49.0.1 Uancels Fifth Revised Page 49.0.1

EFFECTIVE: March 1, 2004

#### **E6. BELLSOUTH SWA SERVICE**

#### E6.8 Rates and Charges (Cont'd)

#### E6.8.1 BellSouth SWA Transport (Cont'd)

- A BellSouth SWA Local Channel Per Local Channel (Cont'd)
  - BellSouth SWA DS3 Service (Cont'd)
    - n 44.736 Mbps' (Cont'd)
      - (1) Per Channel (Cont'd)

(1) Per Channel (Cont d)						
		Monthly R		Nonrecu	•	
			RICE LIS		-	UCOC
	Minin		nximum	First \$870.50	Additional 8427.88	USOC TEFC3
(c) Zone 3	\$1.841.00	52,4	91.00	\$870.50	3447.88	TEPCS
<ul> <li>End-Office Based Private Network</li> </ul>						
a Local Channel						
ita Hach						
(a) Zone (	4.00	0	6.00	17.93	17.93	TEFHK
(h) Zone 2	4.0	0	6.00	17.93	17.93	TEFHK
(c) Zone 3	4.0	0	6.00	17.93	17.93	TEFHK
switched Interoffice Channel - BellSouth SWA Dedicat	ed Transport					
BellSouth SWA VG						
	Facility To			aly Rate		
		aly Rate		r Mile		•
		SEE CUR	RENT PR	ICE LIST	Nonrecurr	-
	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.	Charge	USOC
(a) Zone 1	\$15.30	\$23.30	\$1.90	\$2.60	\$79.85	ILSNF
(b) Zone 2	15.30	23.30	1.90	2.60	79.85	ILSNF
(c) Zone 3	15.30	23.30	1.90	2.60	79.85	1L5NF
3. BellSouth SWA DS0 - 56/64 Kbps						
(a) Zone 1	33.00	45.00	3.00	5.00	24.01	1L5NK
(b) Zone 2	33.00	45.00	3.00	5.00	24.01	ILSNK
(c) Zone 3	33.00	45.00	3.00	5.00	24.01	1L5NK
BellSouth SWA DS1 - 1544 Mbps						
	Facility T	ermination	n Mont	hiv Rate	Nonrecurri	ng
	Monti	hiy Rate	Per	Mile	Charge	USOC
(4) Lone .	581	.00	521	0, 70	\$100.49	ILSNI.
	• ,	.00	,	0.70	100.49	IL5NI
hi Zone 2 (c) Zone 3		.00		0.70	100.49	1L5N1
(c) Zone 3 : RellSouth SWA DS3 - 44 736 Mbns SWA VG			•			
Hellaudin 3 W/C C/3	Carility 1	erminatio	n Mon	thiv Rate		
	•	thiv Rate		er Mile		
	-1011		RRENT PR		Nonrecur	ring
	Min.		Min.	Max.	Charge	USOC
the ime	S1,310,00	\$1,773.00			N67.19	IL5NV
(1) / Ame ( (n) / Ann 2	1_310.00	1.773.00			67,19	HISNM
(2) Zone 2	1.310.00	1,773.00			n7.19	HI5NN
C. 1 - NOME .						

Note I or use with a purchased Switched Expanded Interconnection Arrangement from BellSouth Edecommunications, Inc., Laritf F. C.C. No. 1

ii BeilSouth mans contained herein are owned by BellSouth Intellectual Property Compranon

BELLSOU III TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC. SOUTH CAROLINA ISSUED: September 2, 2003 HY: President - South Carolina Commission South Carolina

Fourteenth Revised Page 5: Cancels Thirteenth Revised Page 51

EFFECTIVE: March 1, 2004

#### **E6. BELLSOUTH SWA SERVICE**

#### E6.8 Rates and Charges (Cont'd)

#### E6.8.3 Local Switching

Local Switching Rates and Optional Features

Per Access Minute

		Rate	USOC	
1.1)	1.81 - BellSouth SWA FGA and BellSouth SWA FGB	5.002158	NA I	
151	1.S2 - BellSouth SWA FGC and BellSouth SWA FGD	.002358	NA 6	
(0)	1.S3 - BellSouth SWA LSBSA and BellSouth SWA	.002148	NA F	,
ıdı	TSBSA 1 LS4 - BellSouth SWA TSBSA 2 and TSBSA 3	.002148	**	
Common Trui	nk Port Service			
130	Per Access Minute of Use	.00800	NA NA	
Dedicated End	d Office trunk Port Service			
		Monthly Rate		
137	Per dedicated DS0 VCr trank port required	59,47	TDEOP	
thi	Per dedicated DS1 trunk port required	139.98	TDEIP	

- Common Switching Optional Features (BellSouth SWA FG Customers Only)
  - 4. Hunt Group Arrangement, available with BellSouth SWA FGA Per Transmission Path Group
  - b. Uniform Call Distribution Arrangement, available with BellSouth SWA FGA Per Transmission Path Group
  - c. Nonhunting Numbers for use with Hunt Group Arrangements or U.C.D. Arrangement available with BellSouth SWA FGA

Per Transmission Path

Automatic Number Identification /Charge Number available with BellSouth SWA FGB. BellSouth SWA FGC and BellSouth SWA FGD-

Per Transmission Path Group

- Common Switching (BellSouth SWA FG and BellSouth SWA Basic Serving Arrangement Customers)
  - Call denial on line or hunt group, available with BellSouth SWA FG V

Per Transmission Path or Transmission Path Group

Service Code Deniai on tine or nunt group, available with BellSouth SWA FGA

Per Transmission Path or Transmission Path Group

Up to 7 Digit Outpulsing of Access Digits to IC, available with BellSouth SWA FGB

Per Transmission Path Group

a Revertive Pulse Address Signaling, available with BellSouth SWA FGC

Per Transmission Path Group

Delay Dial Start-Pulsing Signating, available with BellSouth SWA FGC

Per Transmission Path Group

Immediate Dial Pulse Address Signating, available with BellSouth SWA FGC

Per Transmission Path Group

Dial Puise Address Signating, available with Bell South SWA FGC

Per Transmission Path Group

Service Class Routing, available with BellSouth SWA FGC and BellSouth SWA FGD

Fer End Office and Access Landem

- Note 1: These Common Switching Optional Features are not available for BellSouth SWA Basic Serving Arrangement, See Eo. 8 3.A.4, for the appropriate BSE.
- \* harge Number is applicable only to BellSouth SWA FGI Note 2:
- Peterences to BellSouin SWA FGS will also include the applicable BellSouin SWA Basic crying. Arrangement as detailed in the matrix of ho 1/3.

EFFECTIVE: March 1, 2004

### E6. BELLSOUTH SWA SERVICE PRICE LIST

1.6.8 Rates and Charges (Cont.d)

#### To.8 1 BellSouth SWA Transport (Cont'd)

(c) Zone 3

- B Switched Interoffice Channel BellSouth SWA Dedicated Transport (Cont'd)
  - BellSouth SWA DS0 = 56 64 Kbps = Per Interoffice Channel

		Facility Termination  Monthly Rate	Monthly Rate Per Mile	USOC
	(a) Zone i	\$ 38.37	\$ 3.95	1L5NK
	(b) Zone 2	38,37	3,95	1L5N <b>K</b>
	(c) Zone 3	38.37	3,45	1 <b>L5NK</b>
3		3.3 in the Access Services Tariff 36 Mbps - Per Interoffice Channe		
	(a) Zone l	\$1,200.00	\$175.00	1L5NM
	(b) Zone 2	1,200.00	175.00	1L5NM

1,200.00

175.00

1L5NM

C Switched Interoffice Channel - BellSouth SWA Common Transport

i Per Mile	Rates Per Access Minute	<u>USOC</u>
(a) Zone 1 (b) Zone 2 (c) Zone 3	\$ .00004 .00004 .00004	NA NA NA
2. Eacthities Termination		
(a) Zone i (b) Zone 2 (c) Zone 3	5.00036 .00036 .00036	NA NA NA

SOUTH CAROLINA
Intrastate Switched Access Rate Reductions
July 2002 Through June 2003 Data

		Present	Proposed	Present Annual	Proposed Annual	
Description	Demand	Rate	Rate	Revenue	Revenue	
Tree of North June 1 Prepries		\$ 6269000	0.002158			
manual Cambana Ira		\$ 6669000	0.002158		×	_
Promise American		0.006770	0.002148			
Lead Assistance — Premium	Ĵ	0 006770 S	0.002148			
Perfectly and for TSU Such		\$ 00.06	8018		<u></u>	
Dotte ated Switched for DSI Zone 2*		8 00:06	81 00		-	
Della not Switched 100 DM Zone 3*		\$ 00.06	81.00			
Delta ded Son bed KOM DAL Andelt		23.00	20.70		_	
The first Switched ION 1081 Zone 2		23.00 \$	20.70			Î
Deducated Switched IOM   DSI Zone 3		33.00	20,70	_	<u>ب</u>	
TOTAL REALINE IMPACT					•	(6,828,850.39)

. The equivalent composite ratesmou for the DS1 Interoffice Transport elements are as follows. Present \$.001791, Proposed \$.001612

KA) I.B Selllement

DATE SOURCE TOLL SETTLEMENTS FOR MAR THRU JUNE 103 ANNUALIZED Base Julia Base Data relects than Thru Jun 103 AVERAGE

		Annualized ICO Payments to BST	ments to BST			
					-	
			ICO ORP	ICO ACS		
		Total ICO	Payments to	Payments to		Appropriate Total
		ICO ORP ICO ACS Payments to		BST	Total ICO	Reduction in
		Payments to Payments to BST for ORP	(excludes	(excludes	Payments to	Settlement
		BST (excludes BST (excludes & ACS	transit) @	(ransk) @	ē	Revenues to BS1
		transit) @ transit) @ intrastate	Interstate	Interstate	A ACS	ORP & ACS @
	Company	intrastate Rate Intrastate Rate Rate	Rate	Rate	Interstate Rate	Interstate Rates
25	ALLEL		2		2	
SC	BLUFFTON		-	~		
SC	HARGRAY		1 = 1	~	1	
S S	CHESNEE		-	Š	2	
SC	MCCLELLANVALLE		-		3	
SC	SI STEPHEN		1			
SC	NORWAY		1			
SC	WILLISTON					
SC	PAI METTO					
SC	PIEDMONT					
SC	POND BRANCH		2			
SC	SANDHILL		1			
SC	WEST CAROLINA					
SC	CHESTER		-			
SC	LOCKHARI				4	
SC	RIDGEWAY					
SC	FARMERS					
SC	Venzon - G1E					
SC	Verizon CONTEL					
SC	HOME					
SC	HORRY					
SC	Sprint UNITED		?			
	TOTALS	\$2,245,263			189,968\$	1,288,031

PRIVATE/PROPRIETARY: DISCLOSURE OUTSIDE BELLSOUTH BY WRITTEN AGREEMENT ONLY

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. SCPSC Docket No. 1997-239-C December 31, 2003 Exhibit KKB-2

## **Composite Switched Access Rates**

(per Originating + Terminating MOU)

Intrastate	1	
Florida	\$	0.045312
South Carolina (Current)		0.020000
Louisiana	\$	0.017024
Alabama	\$	0.015340
South Carolina (Proposed)	S	0.010000
Mississippi	\$	0.009508
Tennessee	\$	0.009208
North Carolina	\$	0.009040
Kentucky	\$	0.008328
Georgia	\$	0.008284

Interstate	
interstate	\$ 0.008328

The transport rates per minute of use reflects 13,330 minutes of use per voice grade equivalent, DS1 Switched Transport and Interoffice Switched Transport of 21 miles.

Composite rates includes Carrier Common Line, Average PICC rate, Average Incremental SLC rate, Average Federal Universal Service rate, DS1 Switched Transport, Interconnection,

When switched access zone rates are in effect, Zone 1 rates are shown.

(#519879)

WIRE CENTER LEVEL DATA Non-recurring Rev															
Company:		BellSouth		Res	Bus	SL SLC	ML SLC								
Study Area:		South Carolina	S	1 50	5 1.24 5	3.50	S 6.97			\$ 31.00 \$	51.00				
								No. of Lines	BCPM 3.	The same of the sa	Ln - BCPM	State Support/L	n - BCPM	Total Support/L	n - BCPM
WC CLLI code	Exchange_ CLLI	Exchange Name	RG: Res	FR Rev	Bus FR Rev	Res SLC	Bus SLC	Residential 1F		n Res	Bus	Res	Bus	Res	Bus
AJKNSCMA	AIKNSC	AIKEN	5 \$	17.00	\$ 41.59	3 50	\$ 6.62	22,184 4.3			\$0.00 \$2.09	\$16.52 \$33.13	\$0.00 \$14.96	\$18.53 \$40.22	\$0.00 \$17.05
ALDLSCMA	ALDLSC	ALLENDALE	2 \$ 5 <b>\$</b>	15.65	\$ 36.49 \$ 41.59	3.50	\$ 5.83 \$ 5.41		87 <b>\$</b> 59.3 43 <b>\$</b> 51.9		\$0.23	\$26.17	\$4.67	\$31.40	\$4.90
ARSNSCAH ARSNSCMA	ARSNSC ARSNSC	ANDERSON ANDERSON	5 <b>\$</b>	17.00	S 41.59		\$ 6.16	28,544 5,1	74 \$37.0	51.52	\$0.00	\$15.07	\$0.00	\$16.59	\$0.00
ARSNSCTV	ARSINSC	ANDERSON	5 \$	17.00	\$ 41.59	3.50	\$ 5 <u>.25</u>		21 \$62.7		\$2.95	\$34.33 \$17.74	\$12.99 \$0.00	\$42.28 \$20.45	\$15.94 \$0.00
BATHSCMA	BATHSC	BATH	7 \$	17.90	\$ 44.99		\$ 5.85 \$ 5.75		31 \$41.8 87 \$63.3		\$0 00 \$3.09	\$36.11	\$18.02	\$44.20	\$21.11
BAVLSCMA	BAVLSC	BLACKVILLE BELTON	2 S	15.65	\$ 36.49 \$ 41.59		\$ <u>5.75</u> \$ 5.83		15 <b>\$4</b> 9.1	2 \$4.53	\$0.00	\$24.09	\$1 70	\$28.62	\$1 70
BEVLSCMA	BETNSC BEVLSC	BENNETTSVILLE	2 \$	15.65	\$ 36.49	3.50	\$ 6.03	5,954 1,0	84 \$38.9		\$0.00	\$17.78	\$0.00	\$19.76	\$0 00
BHISSCMA	BHISSC	BEECH ISLAND	7 \$	17.90	\$ 44.99		S 5.61		96 <b>\$53.2</b> 370 <b>\$5</b> 5.7		\$0.57 \$1.20	\$26.29 \$29.98	\$2 09 \$10.46	\$31.86 \$36.18	\$2.66 \$11.66
BLBGSCMA	BLBGSC	BLACKSBURG	3 \$	16.10	\$ 38.19 \$ 36.49		\$ 5.93 \$ 6.08	2,713 3 443	370 \$55.7 47 \$159.0		\$27.00	\$107.85	\$89.43	\$139.85	\$116.43
BLNHSCMA BLRGSCMA	BLNHSC BLRGSC	BLENHEIM BLUE RIDGE	7 \$	17.90	\$ 44.99		\$ (0.28)	5,259	02 \$49.8	4 \$4.71	\$0.00	\$23.73	\$5.13	\$28.44	\$5.13
BMBRSCMA	BMBRSC	BAMBERG	4 \$	16.55	\$ 39.89	3.50	\$ 6.01		25 \$54.9		\$0.99 \$1.23	\$28.92 \$30.54	\$8.07 \$12.12	\$34.91 \$36.77	\$9.06 \$13.35
BRWLSCBE	BRWLSC	BARNWELL	2 \$	15.65		3.50 3.50	\$ 6.08 \$ 5.63		\$55.9 14 <b>\$</b> 56.2		\$1.30	\$30.76	\$12.79	\$37.06	\$14.09
BTBGSCMA	BTBGSC CENTSC	BATESBURG	2 \$	15.65 16.55	\$ 36.49 \$ 39.89		\$ 5.41		53 \$44.7	8 \$3.45	\$0.00	\$21.28	\$0.00	\$24.73	\$0.00
CENTSCWS CHAPSCCL	CHAPSC	CHAPIN-LT MTN. SOL		17.90	\$ 44.99	3.50	\$ 5.77		574 <b>\$53</b> .7		\$0.68	\$26.63	\$2.27	\$32.31 \$33.40	\$2.95 \$9.97
CHRWSCES	CHRWSC	CHERAW	2 \$	15.65	\$ 36.49		\$ 6.09		)75 \$52.5 138 \$30.1		\$0.39 \$0.00	\$28.01 \$8.76	\$9.58 \$0.00	\$8.76	\$0.00
CHTNSCDP	CHTNSC	CHARLESTON	7 \$	17.90 17.90	\$ 44.99 \$ 44.99		\$ 6.57 \$ 6.49		38 \$30.1 78 \$22.3		\$0.00	\$0.96	\$0.00	\$0.96	\$0.00
CHTNSCJM	CHTNSC	CHARLESTON	7 \$	17.90			\$ 6.00	12,783 1,	372 \$30.1	9 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$8.79	\$0.00	\$8.79	\$0.00
CHTNSCJN	CHTNSC	CHARLESTON	7 \$	17.90	\$ 44.99		\$ 5.85		568 <b>\$</b> 47.9		\$0.00	\$22.29 \$6.43	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$26.52 \$6.43	\$0.00 \$0.00
CHTNSCLB	CHTNSC	CHARLESTON	7 \$	17.90	\$ 44.99	\$ 3.50 \$ 3.50	\$ 6.58 \$ 6.58		132 <b>\$27.8</b>		\$0.00	\$5.81	\$0.00	\$5.81	\$0.00
CHTNSCNO	CHTNSC	CHARLESTON	7 \$ 7 \$	17.90 17.90	\$ 44.99 \$ 44.99	<del></del>	\$ 6.43		22 \$29.4	3 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$8.03	\$0.00	\$8.03	\$0.00
CHTNSCWA	CLIOSC	CLIO	2 \$		\$ 36.49	\$ 3.50	\$ 4.91	846	74 \$74.3	6 \$10.84	\$5.84	\$44.37 \$13.15	\$27.12 \$0.00	\$55.21 \$14.33	\$32.96 \$0.00
CLMASCAR	CLMASC	COLUMBIA	7 \$	17.90			\$ 6.31		94 \$35.7 94 \$0.0		\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
CLMASCBQ	CLMASC	COLUMBIA	7 \$ 7 \$	17.90 17.90	\$ 44.99 \$ 44.99		\$ 6.97 \$ 6.43		751 \$29.9		\$0.00	\$8.57	\$0.00	\$8.57	\$0.00
CLMASCOF	CLMASC	COLUMBIA	7:5	17.90	\$ 44.99	\$ 3.50	\$ 6.27	14,330 2,	351 \$32.2	5 \$0.31	\$0.00	\$10.54	\$0.00	\$10.85	\$0.00
CLMASCPA	CLMASC	COLUMBIA	7 \$	17.90	\$ 44.99	\$ 3.50	\$ 6.86		121 \$18.6		\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$4.19	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$4.19	\$0.00 \$0.00
CLMASCSA	CLMASC	COLUMBIA	7 \$	17.90	\$ 44.99 \$ 44.99	<u> </u>	\$ 6.64 \$ 5.60		593 \$25.5 486 \$43.3		\$0.00	\$18.82	\$0.00	\$21.90	\$0.00
CLMASCSC	CLMASC CLMASC	COLUMBIA	7 \$ 7 \$	17.90 17.90	\$ 44.99		\$ 6.27		089 \$37.5		\$0.00	\$14.49	\$0.00	\$16.12	\$0.00
CLMASCSH	CLMASC	COLUMBIA	7! \$	17.90	\$ 44.99	\$ 3.50	\$ 6.64		442 \$23.5		\$0.00	\$2.19 \$7.95	\$0.00	\$2.19 \$7.95	\$0.00 \$0.00
CLMASCSU	CLMASC	COLUMBIA	7 \$	17.90	\$ 44.99	\$ 3.50	\$ 6.33		\$89 \$29.3 535 \$32.4		\$0.00 \$0.00	\$10.69	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$11.06	\$0.00
CLMASCSW	CLMASC	COLUMBIA	7 S	17.90 16.55	\$ 44.99 \$ 39.89		\$ 6.45 \$ 6.14		836 <b>\$2</b> 6.8		\$0.00	\$6.75	\$0.00	\$6.75	\$0.00
CLSNSCMA CLTNSCMA	CLSNSC	CLEMSON	3 \$	16.10	\$ 38.19		\$ 6.14	5,085	887 \$40.7	0 \$2.43	\$0.00	\$18.67	\$0.00	\$21.10	\$0.00
CLVRSCES	CLVRSC	CLOVER	5 \$	17.00	\$ 41,59	\$ 3.50	\$ 5.58		547 \$49.0		\$0.00	\$24.06 \$24.31	\$1.91 \$4.34	\$28.58 \$28.62	\$1.91 \$4.34
CMDNSCLG	CMDNSC	CAMDEN	3 \$	16.10		<u> </u>	\$ 5.69 \$ 5.72		717 <b>\$48.2</b> 549 <b>\$</b> 51.4		\$0.12	\$26.74	\$7.43	\$31.86	\$7.55
CMDNSCMA	CMDNSC	CAMDEN COWPENS	3 <b>\$</b> 5 <b>\$</b>	16.10 17.00		\$ 3.50 \$ 3.50	\$ 5.99		273 \$39.7	8 \$2.20	\$0.00	\$17.08	\$0.00	\$19.28	\$0.00
CWPNSCMA DLLNSCMA	DLLNSC	DILLON	2 \$	15.65		\$ 3.50	\$ 5.92	6,326 1.	202 \$43.7	4 \$3.19	\$0.00	\$21.40	\$1.33	\$24.59	\$1.33 \$8.67
DNIMKSCES	DNMKSC	DENMARK	2 \$	15.65			\$ 5.56		324 <b>\$</b> 50. 637 <b>\$</b> 45.		\$0.00 \$0.00	\$26.64 \$21.52	\$8.67	\$31.57 \$25.20	\$8.67
DRTNSCMA	DRTNSC	DARLINGTON	5 \$	17.00 15.20			\$ 6.01 \$ 5.53		166 \$51.0		\$0.16	\$27.79	\$11.18	\$32.96	\$11.34
EDFDSCMA	EDB HSC EDF DSC	EDISTO ISLAND EDGEFIELD	1 \$	15.20		\$ 3.50 \$ 3.50	\$ 6.06	2,448	474 \$63.2	6 \$8.07	\$3.07	\$36 49	\$19.34	\$44.56	\$22.41
EOVRSCMA	EOVRSC	EASTOVER	7.5	17.90	\$ 44.99	\$ 3.50	\$ 5.62	2,350	219 \$63.		\$3.03	\$33.69	\$9.48 \$0.00	\$41.72 \$17.60	\$12.51 \$0.00
ESLYSCMA	ESLYSC	EASLEY	7:\$	17.90	\$ 44.99		\$ 6.05 \$ 5.72		117 \$39.0 119 \$36.		\$0.00 \$0.00	\$15.60 \$13.47	\$0.00	\$14.76	\$0.00
FLBHSCMA	FLBHSC	FOLLY BEACH	7 <u>\$</u>	17.90 17.45	\$ 44.99 \$ 43.29		\$ 5.72 \$ 6.39		599 \$31.	56 \$0.14	\$0.00	\$10.47	\$0.00	\$10.61	\$0.00
FLRNSCMA FNINSCES	FLRNSC	FLORENCE_ FOUNTAIN INN	7 \$	17.90	S 44.99	\$ 3.50	\$ 6.19		722 \$44.	12 \$3.36	\$0.00	\$19 66	\$0.00	\$23.02	\$0.00
FNVLSCMA	FNVLSC	SPARTANBURG	6 \$	17.45	\$ 43.29		\$ 5.30	2,179	141 \$47.5		\$0.00 \$0.00	\$22.78 \$22.21	\$0.00 \$1.12	\$27.03 \$25.82	\$0.00 \$1.12
GFNYSCMA	GFNYSC	GAFFNEY	3 \$	16.10	\$ 38.19 \$ 41.59	\$ 3.50 \$ 3.50	\$ 6.11 \$ 5.44		292 <b>\$4</b> 5. 297 <b>\$4</b> 7.	\$3.61 01 \$4.00	\$0.00	\$22.51	\$0.00	\$26.51	\$0.00
GIVLSCMA	GIVLSC	GRANITEVILLE	5 <u>\$</u> 7 <b>\$</b>	17.00 17.90	\$ 41.59 \$ 44.99	\$ 3.50 \$ 3.50	S 5.96	9,616 1	031 \$35	75 \$1.19	\$0.00	\$13.16	\$0.00	\$14.35	\$0.00
GNVLSCBE GNVLSCCH	GNVLSC	GREENVILLE GREENVILLE	7 \$	17.90		\$ 3.50	\$ 6.24	23,213 3	222 \$31.	79 \$0.20	\$0.00	\$10.19	\$0.00	\$10.39	\$0.00
GNVLSCCR	GNVLSC	GREENVILLE	7 \$	17.90		\$ 3.50	\$ 6.53	10,159 2	320 \$34.	56 \$0.91	\$0.00	\$12.35	\$0.00	\$13.26	\$0.00

WIRE CENTER	LEVEL DATA	-		Non-recurring	- Pay			· · · · ·			- · · ·		1			
<u> </u>		BellSouth		Res	Bus	SL SLC	ML SLC									1
Company:		South Carolina	····································	1.50 S	1.24 \$	3.50										
Study Area:		Journ Caronna					•				\$ 31.00 \$	51.00				
wc	Exchange	Exchange						No. of Line		BCPM 3.1	Federal Support/		State Support/L		Total Support/L	
CLLI code	CLLI	Name	RG Res F	R Rev Bu	s FR Rev	Res SLC	Bus SLC	Residential	1FB	Cost/Ln	Res	Bus	Res	Bus \$0.00	Res	Bus
GNVLSCDT	GNVLSC	GREENVILLE	7_\$	17.90 \$	44 99 \$	3 50	\$ 6.5°	27,261	12,944	\$26.30	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$4.90 \$14.20	\$0.00	\$4.90 \$15.74	\$0.00 \$0.00
GNVLSCWE	GNVLSC	GREENVILLE	<u>. 7</u> . <b>§</b>	17.90 \$	44.99 5		\$ 6.24 \$ 5.48	12,530 3,054	2,556 170	\$37.14 \$59.67	\$1.54 \$7.17	\$2.17	\$31 10	\$7.03	\$38.27	\$9.20
GNVLSCWP	GNVLSC	GREENVILLE GREENVILLE	7. <b>\$</b> 7. <b>\$</b>	17.90 \$ 17.90 \$	44.99 \$ 44.99 \$	3.50		20,408	9,411	\$27.56	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6.16	\$0.00	\$6.16	\$0.00
GNVLSCWR GRERSCMA	GNVLSC GRERSC	GREER	7 \$	17.90 S	44.99 \$		s 6.38	15,476	4,013	\$35.16	\$1.04	\$0.00	\$12.72	\$0.00	\$13.76	\$0.00
HCGVSCMA	HCGVSC	HICKORY GROVE	2 \$	15.65 \$	36.49 \$		\$ 4.74	800	56	\$85.24	\$13.56	\$8.56	\$52 53	\$35.45	\$66.09	\$44.01
HNPHSCMA	HNPHSC	HONEA PATH	5 \$	17.00 \$	41 59 \$		\$ 5.37	2,922	274	\$48.82	\$4.46	\$0.00	\$23.86	\$1.86	\$28.32	\$1.86
HTVLSCMA	HTVLSC	HARTSVILLE	5 \$	17.00 S	41.59 <b>\$</b>	3.50	\$ 6.02	11,625	1,881	\$44.97	\$3.49	\$0.00	\$20.98	\$0.00	\$24.47	\$0.00
ISPLSCIS	ISPLSC	SULLIVANS ISLAND	7 \$	17.90 \$	44 99 \$	3.50		4,909	503	\$30.72	\$0.00 \$8.14	\$0.00 \$3.14	\$9.32 \$36.28	\$0.00 \$18.39	\$9.32 \$44.42	\$0.00 \$21.53
JHTNSCMA	JHTNSC	JOHNSTON	2 \$	15.65 \$	36.49 \$	3.50	\$ 5.55 \$ 5.42	2,469 1,502	353 125	\$63.57 \$88.89	\$14.47	\$9.47	\$55.27	\$37.51	\$69.74	\$46.98
JNVLSCMA	JNVLSC	JONESVILLE JOANNA	2 \$	15.65 \$ 15.65 \$	36.49 \$ 36.49 \$	3.50	\$ 3.42 \$ 4.95	1,071	74	\$57.40	\$6.60	\$1.60	\$31.65	\$14.36	\$38.25	\$15.96
JONNSCES LATTSCLS	JONNSC	LATTA	2.5	15.65 \$	36.49 <b>\$</b>	3.50	\$ 5.44	1,917	213	\$60.91	\$7.48	\$2.48	\$34.28	\$16.50	\$41.76	\$18.98
LBRTSCMA	LBRTSC	LIBERTY	4 S	16.55 \$	39.89 \$	3.50	\$ 5.80	3,017	324_	\$43.29	\$3.07	\$0.00	\$20.17	\$0.00	\$23.24	\$0.00
LKVWSCMA	LKVWSC	LAKE VIEW	2 \$	15.65 \$	36.49 \$	3.50	\$ 5.01	985	101	\$92.69	\$15.42	\$10.42	\$58.12	\$40.77	\$73.54	\$51.19
LKWLSCRS	LKWLSC	LAKE WYLIE WEŞT	5 \$	17.00 \$	41.59 \$		\$ 6.19	3,949	556	\$42.51	\$2.88	\$0.00	\$19.13	\$0.00	\$22.01	\$0.00
LYMNSCES	LYMNSC	LYMAN	6.\$	17.45 \$	43.29 \$		\$ 6.04	6,368	778	\$40.17 \$33.29	\$2.29 \$0.57	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$16.93 \$11.77	\$0.00	\$19.22 \$12.34	\$0.00
LYMNSCIP	LYMNSC	LYMAN	6 \$	17.45 \$ 17.00 \$	43.29 \$ 41.59 \$	3.50	\$ 6.59 \$ 4.66	1,995 1,050	595 60	\$33.29 \$114.09	\$20.77	\$15.77	\$72.82	\$52.07	\$93.59	\$67.84
MARNSCBN	MARNSC	MARION MARION	5 \$ 5 \$	17.00 \$	41.59 \$	3.50	\$ 5.99	5,857	1,047	\$47.78	\$4.20	\$0.00	\$23.08	\$0.20	\$27.28	\$0.20
MARNSCMA_ MCCLSCMA	MCCLSC	MCCOLL	2 \$	15.65 \$	36.49 \$	3.50	\$ 5.09	1,282	122	\$58.78	\$6.95	\$1.95	\$32.68	\$15.25	\$39.63	\$17.20
MLNSSCWP	MLNSSC	MULLINS	5.\$	17.00 S	41.59 \$	3.50	\$ 5.66	4,774	698	\$43.59	\$3.15	\$0.00	\$19.94	\$0.00	\$23.09	\$0.00
MNPLSCES	MNPLSC	MT. PLEASANT	7 \$	17.90 \$	44.99 \$	3.50		20,358	4,420	\$29.32	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7.92	\$0.00	\$7.92	\$0.00
MRTTSCMA	MRTTSC	TRAVELERS REST	7 \$	17.90 \$	44.99 \$	3.50	\$ 5.25	3,717	224	\$65.01	\$8.50	\$3.50	\$35.11	\$11.27	\$43.61 \$13.69	\$14.77
NAGSSCMA	NAGSSC	NORTH AUGUSTA	7 \$	17.90 \$	44.99 \$	3.50	\$ 6.26	14,530	2,205 1,607	\$35.09 \$48.94	\$1.02 \$4.49	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$12.67 \$24.85	\$0.00 \$4.68	\$29.34	\$0.00 \$4.68
NWBYSCMA	NWBYSC	NEWBERRY	3 5	16.10 \$	38.19 \$	3.50 3.50	\$ 6.07 \$ 5.80	7,793 2,175	268	\$50.28	\$4.82	\$0.00	\$25.41	\$4.59	\$30.23	\$4.59
NWELSCMA	ORBGSC	NEW ELLENTON ORANGEBURG	4 S	16.55 \$ 16.55 \$	39.89 \$ 39.89 \$	3.50	\$ 5.00 \$ 5.12	19,448	3,505	\$40.11	\$2.28	\$0.00	\$17.78	\$0.00	\$20.06	\$0.00
ORBGSCMA PCKNSCES	PCKNSC	PICKENS	4 5	16.55 \$	39.89 \$	3.50	\$ 5.94	6,557	890	\$47.48	\$4.12	\$0.00	\$23.31	\$1.65	\$27.43	\$1.65
PCLTSCES	PCLTSC	PACOLET	5 \$	17.00 \$	41.59 \$	3.50	\$ 5.59	2,125	158	\$46.61	\$3.90	\$0.00	\$22.21	\$0.00	\$26.11	\$0.00
POMTSCES	PDMTSC	PIEDMONT	7 \$	17.90 \$	44.99 \$	3.50		3,088	233	\$28.10	\$0.00	\$0.00	<b>\$</b> 6.70	\$0.00	\$6.70	\$0.00
PNTNSCMA	PNTNSC	PENDLETON	5 \$	17.00 \$	41.59 \$	3.50	\$ 5.65	2,652	375	\$43.27	\$3.07	\$0.00	\$19.70	\$0.00	\$22.77 \$46.98	\$0.00 \$23.99
PRSRSCMA	PRSRSC	PROSPERITY	2 \$	15.65 \$	36.49 \$	3.50	\$ 5.65	2,891	290 168	\$66.13 \$61.31	\$8.78 \$7.58	\$3.78 \$2.58	\$38.20 \$34.13	\$20.21 \$14.77	\$40.98	\$17.35
SALMSCMA_	SALMSC	SALEM	3 \$	16.10 \$ 17.90 \$	38.19 \$ 44.99 \$	3.50 : 3.50 :	\$ 5.77 \$ 6.54	2,925 4,337	487	\$38.54	\$1.89	\$0.00	\$15.25	\$0.00	\$17.14	\$0.00
SBRKSCSK	SBRKSC	CHARLESTON	7 \$	17.00 \$	41.59 \$	3.50	\$ 6.23	673	127	\$85.72	\$13.68	\$8.68	\$51.54	\$29.22	\$65.22	\$37.90
SCHLSCES SENCSCMA	SENCSC	SOCIETY HILL SENECA	4 \$	16.55 \$	39.89 \$	3.50	\$ 6.03	9,330	1,879	\$37.84	\$1.71	\$0.00	\$16.08	\$0.00	\$17.79	\$0.00
SHRNSCMA	SHRNSC	SHARON	2 \$	15.65 \$	36.49 \$	3.50	\$ 4.41	670	42	\$88.82	\$14.46	\$9.46	<b>\$</b> 55.21	\$38.46	\$69.67	\$47.92
SPBGSCBS	SPBGSC	SPARTANBURG	6 \$	17.45 \$	43.29 \$	3.50	\$ 5.97	8,977	1,054	\$34.78	\$0.95	\$0.00	\$12.88	\$0.00	\$13.83	\$0.00
SPBGSCCV	SPBGSC	SPARTANBURG	6 \$	17.45 \$	43.29 <b>\$</b>		5 6.16	4,510	533	\$39.12	\$2.03	\$0.00	\$16.14	\$0.00	\$18.17	\$0.00
SPBGSCHW	SPBGSC	SPARTANBURG	6 \$	17.45 \$	43.29 \$	3.50	\$ 6.30	1,213	354	\$35.47	\$1.12	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$13.40 \$10.08	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$14.52 \$10.09	\$0.00 \$0.00
SPBGSCMA	SPBGSC	SPARTANBURG	6 \$	17.45 \$	43.29 \$		\$ 6.36 \$ 6.43	22,814 16,160	6,873 3,874	\$31.04 \$33.72	\$0.01 \$0.68	\$0.00	\$12.09	\$0.00	\$12.77	\$0.00
SPBGSCWV	SPBGSC	SPARTANBURG SPRINGFIELD-SALLEY	6.5	17.45 \$ 15.20 \$	43.29 S			938	75	\$82.31	\$12.83	\$7.83	\$50.78	\$34.62	\$63.61	\$42.45
SPFDSCMA STGRSCMA	SPFDSC	SPRINGFIELD-SALLEY	1 5	15.20 \$	34.79 \$	3.50	\$ 5.80	3,485	567	\$62.87	\$7.97	\$2.97	\$36.20	\$19.31	\$44.17	\$22.28
SUVLSCMA _	SUVLSC	SUMMERVILLE	7 . \$	17.90 \$	44.99 \$	3.50	\$ 6.10	30,363	4,133	\$34.65	\$0.91	\$0.00	\$12.34	\$0.00	\$13.25	\$0.00
SXMLSCMA	SXMLSC	SIX MILE	4 \$	16.55 \$	39.89 \$	3.50	\$ 4.64	2,614	91	\$62.72	\$7.93	\$2.93	\$34.74	\$15.26	\$42.67	\$18.19
TKNASCST	TKNASC	SENECA	4 \$	16.55 \$	39.89 \$	3 50	\$ 5.55	2,755	154	\$56.49	\$6.37	\$1.37	\$30.07	\$9.68	\$36.44	\$11.05
TMVLSCMA	TMVLSC	TIMMONSVILLE	5 \$	17.00 \$	41.59 \$	3.50	\$ 5.32	3,232	314	\$55.16	\$6.04	\$1 04	\$28.62	\$7.21	\$34.66	\$8.25
TRRSSCMA	TRRSSC	TRAVELERS REST	7 \$	17.90 \$	44.99 \$	3.50	5.97	5,309	770	\$42.01	\$2.75 \$4.40	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$17.86 \$25.03	\$0.00 \$6.06	\$20.61 \$29.43	\$0.00 \$6.06
UNINSCMA	UNINSC	UNION	2 \$	15.65 \$	36.49 \$	3.50	\$ 6.03	8,873 114	1,310 543	\$48.58 \$20.17	\$4.40	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$25.03	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
WCLMSCMA	WCLMSC	COLUMBIA	7.\$	17.90 \$	44.99 \$ 36.49 \$	3.50	\$ 6.84 \$ 5.65	1,422	137	\$58.55	\$6.89	\$1.89	\$32.51	\$14.52	\$39.40	\$16.41
WHTMSCMA	WHTMSC	WHITMIRE	3 \$	15.65 <b>\$</b>	38.19 <b>\$</b>		\$ 5.64	5,630	625	\$52.83	\$5.46	\$0.46	\$27,77	\$8.54	\$33.23	\$9.00
WLHLSCES WMNSSCES	WLHLSC	WESTMINSTER	3 3	16.10 \$	38.19 \$	3.50	\$ 5.65	4,285	397	\$62.25	\$7.81	\$2.81	\$34.84	\$15.60	\$42.65	\$18.41
WMTNSCPW	WMTNSC	WILLIAMSTON	5.\$	17.00 S	41.59 \$		\$ 5.56	6,431	521	\$47.10	\$4.03	\$0.00	\$22.57	\$0.00	\$26.60	\$0.00
YORKSCMA	YORKSC	YORK	5 <b>\$</b>	17.00 \$	41.59 \$	3.50	\$ 6.03	6,831	947	\$46.53	\$3.88	\$0.00	\$22.15	\$0.00	\$26.03	\$0.00
	17_		\$	17.30 \$	43.49 \$	3.50	\$ 632	937,030	199,071	\$37.07	\$1.80	\$0.05	\$15.01	<b>\$</b> 0.95	\$16.81	\$1.00

WIRE CENTER	LEVEL DATA						
Company:		BellSouth					
Study Area:		South Carolina					
			Tot Annual Fed Sup	0CD4	Tot Annual State Sup	nort - RCPM	Tot Annual Support - BCPM
WC CLLI code	Exchange CLLI	Exchange RG	Res Res	Bus	Res	Bus	Res & Bus
AKNSCMA	AIKNSC	AIKEN 5	\$472, <del>99</del> 3	\$0	\$4,397,756	\$6	\$4,870,749
ALDESCMA	ALDLSC	ALLENDALE 2	\$196,365	\$3,185	\$917,568	\$69.474	\$1,186,592
ARSNSCAH	ARSNSC	ANDERSON 5	\$278,215	\$301 \$0	\$1,394,652 \$5,161,897	\$13,618 \$0	\$1,686,786 \$5,678,217
ARSNSCMA ARSNSCTV	ARSNSC ARSNSC	ANDERSON 5 ANDERSON 5	\$516,320 \$215,890	\$2,124	\$1,207,043	\$18,861	\$1,443.918
BATHSOMA	BATHSC	BATH 7	\$171,998	\$0	\$1,125,922	\$0	\$1,297,920
BAVLSCMA	BAVLSC	BLACKVILLE 2	\$130,378	\$2,447	\$581,949	\$40,437	\$755,211
BETNSCMA	BETNSC	BELTON 5	\$251,687	\$0	\$1,338,440	\$10,506	\$1,600,633
BEVLSCMA	BEVLSC	BENNETTSVILLE 2	\$128,375	\$0	\$1,270.345 \$641,055	\$0 \$4,916	\$1,398,720 \$772,826
BHISSCMA	BLBGSC	BEECH ISLAND 7 BLACKSBURG 3	\$126,328 \$194,854	\$527 \$1,598	\$976,029	\$46,442	\$1,218,923
BLBGSCMA BLNHSCMA	BLNHSC	BLENHEIM 2	\$170,112	\$3,888	\$573,331	\$50,439	\$797,770
BLRGSCMA	BLRGSC	BLUE RIDGE 7	\$236,988	\$0	\$1,497,553	\$12,435	\$1,746,976
BMBRSCMA	BMBRSC	BAMBERG 4	\$167,265	\$1,402	\$869,335	\$41,157	\$1,079,159
BRWLSCBE	BRWLSC	BARNWELL 2	\$313,543	\$3,129	\$1,537,017 \$2,030,160	\$120,861 \$109,585	\$1,974,550 \$2,557,945
BTBGSCMA	BTBGSC	BATESBURG 2 CENTRAL 4	\$413,910 \$98,946	\$4,290 \$0	\$617,971	\$109,363	\$716,917
CENTSCWS CHAPSCCL	CENTSC	CHAPIN-LT MTN SOUTH 7	\$302,017	\$1,616	\$1,902,980	\$15,636	\$2,222,249
CHRWSCES	CHRWSC	CHERAW 2	\$345,197	\$1,282	\$1,793,872	\$123,582	\$2,263,933
CHTNSCDP	CHTNSC	CHARLESTON 7	\$0	\$0	\$2,629,892	\$0	\$2,629,692
CHTNSCDT	CHTNSC	CHARLESTON 7	\$0	\$0	\$161,142	\$0 \$0	\$161,142
CHTNSCJM	CHTNSC	CHARLESTON 7	\$0 \$167,102	\$0 \$0	\$1,348,351 \$1,184,669	<b>S</b> 0	\$1,348,351 \$1,351,771
CHTNSCJN CHTNSCLB	CHTNSC	CHARLESTON 7 CHARLESTON 7	\$167,102	\$0	\$1,011,413	\$0	\$1,011,413
CHTNSCNO	CHTNSC	CHARLESTON 7	\$0	\$0	\$1,017,075	\$0	\$1,017,075
CHTNSCWA	CHTNSC	CHARLESTON 7	\$0	\$0	\$2,600,660	\$0	\$2,600,660
CLIOSCMA	CLIOSC	CLIO 2	\$107,706	\$3,084	\$450,444	\$24,083	\$585,317
CLMASCAR	CLMASC	COLUMBIA 7	\$213,278 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2,652,776 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2,866,054 \$0
CLMASCBQ CLMASCCH	CLMASC		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$2,063,896	\$0	\$2,063,896
CLMASCOF	CLMASC	COLUMBIA 7 COLUMBIA 7	\$47,114	\$0	\$1,812,458	\$0	\$1,859,572
CLMASCPA	CLMASC	COLUMBIA 7	\$0	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u> .	\$6
CLMASCSA	CLMASC	COLUMBIA 7	\$0	\$0	\$891,816	\$0	\$891,816
CLMASCSC	CLMASC	COLUMBIA 7	\$194,668 \$277,869	\$0 \$0	\$1,379,882 \$2,640,368	\$0 \$0	\$1,574,550 \$2,918,237
CLMASCSH CLMASCSN	CLMASC	COLUMBIA 7 COLUMBIA 7	\$2/7,009	\$0	\$709,140	\$0	\$709,140
CLMASCSU	CLMASC	COLUMBIA 7	\$0	\$0	\$1,722,352	\$0	\$1,722,352
CLMASCSW	CLMASC	COLUMBIA 7	\$98,750	\$0	\$2,917,087	\$0	\$3,015,837
CLSNSCMA	CLSNSC	CLEMSON 4	\$0	\$0	\$570,483	\$0	\$570,483
CLTNSCMA	CLTNSC	CLINTON 3	\$148,279	\$0 \$0	\$1,139,243 \$1,331,577	\$0 \$12,537	\$1,287,522 \$1,579,841
CLVRSCES	CLVRSC	CLOVER 5 CAMDEN 3	\$235,727 \$274,788	\$0 \$0	\$1,966,776	\$37,341	\$2,278,905
CMDNSCMA	CMDNSC	CAMDEN 3	\$635,105	\$801	\$3,316,937	\$138,109	\$4,090,952
CWPNSCMA	CWPNSC	COWPENS 5	\$55,070	\$0	\$540,480	\$0	\$595,550
DLLNSCMA	DLLNSC	DILLON 2	\$228,417	\$0	\$1,624,517	\$19,184	\$1,872,118
DNMKSCES	DNMKSC	DENMARK 2	\$128,200	\$0 \$0	\$694,345 \$2,334,231	\$33,709 \$0	\$856,254 \$2,733,393
DRTNSCMA	DRTNSC	DARLINGTON 5	\$399,162 \$33,378	\$132	\$2,334,231 \$719,650	\$22,271	\$2,753,393 \$775,431
EDBHSCMA EDFDSCMA	EDBHSC EDFDSC	EDISTO ISLAND 1 EDGEFIELD 1	\$224,378	\$4,568	\$1,071,930	\$110,006	\$1,410,882
EOVRSCMA	EOVRSC	EASTOVER 7	\$198,887	\$3,091	\$950,058	\$24,913	\$1,178,949
ESLYSCMA	ESLYSC	EASLEY 7	\$360,600	\$0	\$3,111,077	\$0	\$3,471,677
FLBHSCMA	FLBHSC	FOLLY BEACH 7	\$10,526	\$0.	\$266,868	\$0	\$277,394
FLRNSCMA	FLRNSC	FLORENCE 6	\$48,260	\$0 \$0	\$3,961,304 \$1,240,939	\$0 \$0	\$4,009,564 \$1,412,783
FNINSCES	FNINSC	FOUNTAIN INN 7 SPARTANBURG 6	\$171,844 \$90,678		\$595,651	\$0	\$686,329
FNVLSCMA_ GFNYSCMA	GFNYSC	GAFFNEY 3	\$563,637	\$0	\$3,467,692	\$30,804	\$4,062,133
GIVLSCMA	GIVLSC	GRANITEVILLE 5	\$187,488	\$0	\$1,055,089	\$0	\$1,242,577
GNVLSCBE	GNVLSC	GREENVILLE 7	\$136,731	\$0	\$1,518,559	\$0	\$1,655,290
GNVLSCCH	GNVLSC GNVLSC	GREENVILLE 7 GREENVILLE 7	\$50,441 \$110,936	\$0 \$0	\$2,838,486 \$1,505,564	\$0 \$0	\$2,888,927 \$1,616,500

Company:		BellSouth		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Study Area:		South Carolina			———		
wc	Exchange	Exchange	Tot Annual Fed Sup	port - BCPM	Tot Annual State Su	pport - BCPM	Tot Annual Support - BCPM
CLLI code	CLU	Name R0		Bus	Res	Bus	Res & Bus
GNVLSCDT	GNVLSC		7 50	\$0	\$1,602,947	<b>\$</b> C	\$1,602,94
GNVLSCWE	GNVLSC		7 \$231,554	\$0	\$2,135,112	sù U	\$2,366,66
GNVLSCWP	GNVLSC	GREENVILLE	7 \$232,222	\$1,901	\$1,139,753	\$14,341	\$1,388,21
GNVLSCWR	GNVLSC	GREENVILLE	7 \$0	\$0	\$1,508,559	\$0	\$1,508,55
GRERSCMA	GRERSC	GREER	7 \$166,908	\$0	\$2,362,257	\$0	\$2,529,16
HCGVSCMA	HCGVSC		2 \$110,650	\$3,698	\$504,288	\$23,822	\$642,45
HNPHSCMA	HNPHSC		5 \$148 <u>,464</u>	\$0	\$836,627	\$6,116	\$991,20
HTVLSCMA	HTVLSC	HARTSVILLE	5 \$470,899	\$0	\$2,926,710	\$0 \$0	\$3,397,60
JHTNSCMA	JHTNSC	SULLIVANS ISLAND JOHNSTON	7 <u>\$0</u> 2 <b>\$223,394</b>	\$0 \$5,426	\$549,023 \$1,074,904	\$77,900	\$549,02
JNVLSCMA	JNVLSC		2 \$260,807	\$6,364	\$996,186	\$56,265	\$1,381,62 \$1,319,62
JONNSCES	JONNSC		2 \$82,368	\$826	\$406,766	\$12,752	\$1,319,62
LATTSCLS	LATTSC		2 \$172,070	\$2,797	\$788,577	\$42,174	\$1,005,61
LBRTSCMA	LBRTSC		\$108,199	\$0	\$730,235	\$0	\$838,43
LKVWSCMA	LKVWSC	LAKE VIEW	\$182,264	\$7,127	\$686,978	\$49,413	\$925,78
LKWLSCRS	LKWLSC		5 \$105,443	\$0	\$906,532	\$0	\$1,011,97
LYMNSCES	LYMNSC		5 \$174,993	\$0	\$1,293,723	\$0	\$1,468,71
LYMNSCIP	LYMNSC		59,398	\$0	\$281,774	\$0	\$291,17
MARNSCBN	MARNSC		5 \$261,702	\$7,570	\$917,532	\$37,490	\$1,224,29
MARNSCMA	MARNSC		5 \$295,193	\$0	\$1,622,155	\$2,513	\$1,919,86
MCCLSCMA	MCCLSC		2 \$106,919	\$1,544	\$502,749	\$22,326	\$633,53
MLNSSCWP	MLNSSC		5 \$177,849	\$0	\$1,142,323	\$0	\$1,320,17
MNPLSCES	MNPLSC		7 \$0	\$0	\$1,934,824	\$0	\$1,934,82
MRTTSCMA	MRTTSC		7 \$343,536	\$4,662	\$1,586,046	\$30,294	\$1,944,53
NAGSSCMA	NAGSSC		7 \$163,257 3 \$409,057	\$0	\$2,209,141	\$0 \$90,249	\$2,372,39
NWBYSCMA NWELSCMA	NWBYSC		3 \$409,057 4 \$125,860	\$0 \$0	\$2,323,873 \$663,506	\$14,761	\$2,823,17 \$804,12
ORBGSCMA	ORBGSC		\$483,643	\$0	\$4,149,425	\$0	\$4,633,06
PCKNSCES	PCKNSC			\$0	\$1,834,124	\$17,622	\$2,149,67
POLTSCES	PCLTSC	PACOLET	\$ \$297,925 5 \$83,538	\$0	\$586,355	\$11,022	\$649.89
PDMTSCES	PDMTSC		7 <b>\$</b> 0		\$248,275	\$0	\$248,27
PNTNSCMA	PNTNSC		\$97,700	\$0	\$626,933	\$0	\$724,63
PRSRSCMA	PRSRSC		\$271,723	\$4,990	\$1,325,234	\$70,331	\$1,672,270
SALMSCMA	SALMSC	SALEM	\$200,931	\$1,796	\$1,197,963	\$29,776	\$1,430,466
SBRKSCSK	SBRKSC	CHARLESTON	\$20,253	\$0	\$793,671	\$0	\$813,924
SCHLSCES	SCHLSC	SOCIETY HILL	\$110,480	\$2,812	\$416,237	\$44,531	\$574,060
SENCSCMA	SENCSC		\$166,951	\$0	\$1,800,317	\$0	\$1,967,26
SHRNSCMA	SHRNSC	SHARON	\$110,879 \$67,112	\$3,519	\$443,888	\$19,384	\$577,67
SPBGSCBS	SPBGSC			\$0	\$1,387,485	\$0	\$1,454,59
SPBGSCCV	SPBGSC		\$104,188	<b>\$</b> 0	\$873,497	\$0	\$977,68
SPBGSCHW	SPBGSC		\$16,303	\$0	\$195,050	\$0	\$211,35
SPBGSCMA	SPBGSC		\$2,738	\$0	\$2,759,581	\$0	\$2,762,31
SPBGSCWV	SPBGSC		\$120,515	\$0	\$2,344,493	\$0	\$2,485,00
SPFDSCMA	SPFDSC		\$144,414	\$3,852	\$571,580	\$31,158	\$751,00
STGRSCMA	STGRSC	ST. GEORGE	\$333,305 7 \$304,974	\$6,807 \$0	\$1,513,884	\$131,385 : \$0	\$1,985,38
SUVLSCMA SXMLSCMA	SUVLSC		\$304,974	\$2,145	\$4,496,153 \$1,089,724	\$16,664	\$4,801,12 \$1,331,39
TKNASCST	TKNASC		\$137,133	\$1.038	\$994,114	\$17,889	\$1,150,17
TMVLSCMA	TMVLSC		\$ \$210,699	\$1,860	\$1,109,998	\$27,167	\$1,150,17
RRSSCMA	TRRSSC		7 \$146,751	\$0	\$1,137,825	\$0	\$1,284,57
UNINSCMA	UNINSC		\$457,987	\$0	\$2,665,094	\$95,263	\$3,218,34
WOLMSCMA	WCLMSC			\$0	\$0	\$0	<del>\$3,210,34</del>
WHTMSCMA	WHTMSC	WHITMIRE	7 \$0 2 <b>\$</b> 117,571	\$1,179	\$554,751	\$23,871	\$697,37
WLHLSCES	WLHLSC	WALHALLA	\$368,878	\$1,319	\$1,876,141	\$64,050	\$2,310,38
WMNSSCES	WMNSSC		\$385,845	\$5,092	\$1,791,473	\$74,318	\$2,256,72
WMTNSCPW	WMTNSC	WILLIAMSTON	5 \$311,003	\$0	\$1,741,772	\$0	\$2,052,77
YORKSCMA	YORKSC	YORK	\$292,071	\$0	\$1,815,680	\$0	\$2,107,75
	17		\$20,281,781	\$115,787	\$168,783,569	\$2,274,731	\$191,455,86

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA DOCKET NO. 97-239-C

IN RE: Proceeding to Establish Guidelines	`
for an Intrastate Universal Service F	und
	Ś

This is to certify that the undersigned, Nyla M. Laney, is employed by the Legal Department for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and that she has caused the Direct Testimony of Kathy K. Blake in the foregoing matter to be served upon the person(s) named below this 31<sup>st</sup> day of December, 2003, by placing copies of same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

Frank Ellerbee, III, Esquire Robinson, McFadden & Moore Post Office Box 944 Columbia, South Carolina 29202 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

F. David Butler, Esquire General Counsel South Carolina Public Service Commission Post Office Box 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Kennard B. Woods, Esquire MCI Metro Access Transmission Services LLC, MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc., and MCI WORLDCOM Network Services, Inc. Six Concourse Parkway, Suite 3200 Atlanta, Georgia 30328 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail) Marty H. Bocock, Jr. Esquire Director-External Affairs Sprint 1122 Lady Street, Suite 1050 Columbia, South Carolina 29201 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

John F. Beach, Esquire John J. Pringle, Jr., Esquire Ellis Lawhorne & Sims, P.A. Post Office Box 2285 Columbia, South Carolina 29202 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Scott A. Elliott, Esquire Elliott & Elliott, P.A. 721 Olive Street Columbia, South Carolina 29205 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Faye A. Flowers, Esquire Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein LLP Post Office Box 1509 Columbia, South Carolina 29202-1509 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Robert E. Tyson, Jr.
Sowell Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC
1310 Gadsden Street
Columbia, South Carolina 35802
(ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc.)
(U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Nanette Edwards, Esquire ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. 4092 S. Memorial Parkway Huntsville, Alabama 25802 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Elliott F. Elam, Jr., Esquire S. C. Department of Consumer Affairs 3600 Forest Drive, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Post Office Box 5757 Columbia, South Carolina 29250-5757 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail) Darra W. Cothran, Esquire Woodward, Cothran & Herndon 1200 Main Street, 6th Floor Post Office Box 12399 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

M. John Bowen, Jr., Esquire McNair Law Firm Post Office Box 11390 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Stan J. Bugner, State Director Verizon Select Services, Inc. 1301 Gervais Street, Suite 825 Columbia, South Carolina 29201 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Steven W. Hamm, Esquire Richardson, Plowden, Carpenter & Robinson Post Office Box 7788 Columbia, South Carolina 29202 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Susan B. Berkowitz, Esquire SC Appleseed Legal Justice Center Post Office Box 7187 Columbia, South Carolina 29202 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

John M. S. Hoefer, Esquire Willoughby & Hoefer, PA Post Office Box 8416 Columbia, South Carolina 29202-8416 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

John C. Ruoff, Ph.D. 4322 Azalea Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29205 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail) Craig K. Davis, Esquire 1420 Hagood Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29205 (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Myla M. Laney